

REMARKS

Applicants submit this paper in response to the non-final Office Action dated January 28, 2009. By way of this paper, claims 1-9 and 13-23 are pending and at issue in this application, including independent claims 1, 21, and 23.

Each of claims 1-9 and 13-23 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly obvious over Guignet (US 5,734,572) in view of Henley (US 4,588,950). Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and favorable action in this case, in view of the following arguments. Independent claim 1 recites, in part, representing a circuit diagram that displays, at least for an element of the system, an electrical connection of the element to other individual elements in the system, and ***representing status data for the element in the represented circuit diagram***. Claim 21 generally recites, in part, representing means for representing the status data which has been received for the element, and where the representation of status data which has been received for the element ***occurs in the represented circuit diagram***. Similarly, claim 23, recites, in part, representing means for ***representing the status data*** which has been received for the element, and where the ***representation of status data which has been received for the element occurs in the represented circuit diagram***.

As agreed upon during the personal interview conducted on May 11, 2009, (and summarized above), Guignet does not render obvious any of independent claims 1, 21, and 23, or any claim depending from one of claims 1, 21, and 23, because Guignet does not disclose, teach, or suggest the elements of those claims, and in particular, Guignet fails to disclose or suggest 1) a represented circuit diagram that displays, for an element of a system, an electrical connection of the element to other individual elements in the system, and 2) receive status data for the element represented in the represented circuit diagram. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of these rejections.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, all remaining claims are in condition for allowance for the reasons provided above. Applicants timely file this Response with a Petition for a One-Month Extension of Time, and the required fee, extending the date for response to May 28, 2009. Although Applicants believe that no additional fees or petitions are required, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 13-2855 of Marshall, Gerstein & Borun, LLP under Order No. 30051/41004. Should the Examiner wish to discuss any remaining issue, Applicants kindly request the Examiner to contact the undersigned by telephone at the number below.

May 21, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Jeremy D. Protas

Registration No.: 61,681
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP
233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6300
Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357
(312) 474-6300
Attorney for Applicants